arXiv:1412.2243v2 [math.AG] 7 Sep 2015

A Néron model of the universal jacobian

David Holmes

September 8, 2015

Abstract

The jacobian of the universal curve over $\mathcal{M}_{g,n}$ is an abelian scheme over $\mathcal{M}_{g,n}$. Our main result is the construction of an algebraic space $\beta\colon \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n} \to \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}$ over which this jacobian admits a Néron model, and such that β is universal with respect to this property. We prove certain basic properties, for example that β is separated, locally of finite presentation, and satisfies a certain restricted form of the valuative criterion for properness. In general, β is not quasi-compact. We relate our construction to Caporaso's balanced Picard stack $\mathcal{P}_{d,g}$.

Contents

1 Introduction **2**
1.1 Statements of main results 3
1.2 Comparison to Caporaso's balanced Picard stack 4
1.3 Outline of the paper 5
1.4 Acknowledgements 5

2 Definition and basic properties of aligned curves **6**

3 Universal aligning morphisms **7**

4 Quasisplit curves **8**

5 Specialisation maps between labelled graphs for quasisplit curves **10**

6 Centrally controlled curves **11**

7 Construction of universal aligning morphisms **13**
7.1 The case of controlled curves 13
7.2 Universal aligning morphisms: the general case 20

8 Regularity and normal crossings **20**

9 Resolving singularities over the universal aligning scheme **25**

10 Existence of Néron models over universal aligning schemes **28**
10.1 Base-change and smoothness 30

11 A worked example **31**
11.1 The universal aligning morphism 31

12 Bounded-thickness substacks of $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}$ **32**

13 Relation to the stack $\mathcal{P}_{d,g}$ of Caporaso **33**

1 Introduction

We write $\mathcal{M}_{g,n}$ for the Deligne-Mumford stack of smooth proper curves of genus g with n disjoint ordered marked sections, and $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}$ for its Deligne-Knudsen-Mumford compactification. The universal curve over $\mathcal{M}_{g,n}$ is smooth and proper, and hence has a jacobian, an abelian scheme, which we shall denote $J_{g,n}/\mathcal{M}_{g,n}$. Numerous authors have investigated (partial) compactifications of $J_{g,n}$ over $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}$, see for example [Cap08], [Chi15], [Est01], [FTT09], [Mel09].

Néron models [Nér64] are the best partial compactifications for families of abelian varieties over 1-dimensional bases. For higher-dimensional families the definition of a Néron model still makes sense (see definition 1.1), but in general they do not exist [Hol14]. The main result of this paper is the construction of a certain universal base-change $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n} \to \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}$ after which a Néron model *does* exist.

More precisely, we construct a map $\beta\colon \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n} \to \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}$ which is an isomorphism over $\mathcal{M}_{g,n}$ and such that

(1) the universal jacobian $J_{g,n}$ admits a Néron model over $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}$;

(2) $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n} \to \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}$ is universal with respect to property (1) — see definition 1.2 for a precise statement.

We show that β is separated, locally of finite type, relatively representably by algebraic spaces, and that it satisfies a restricted form of the valuative criterion for properness (see theorem 1.3). In general, β is not quasi-compact.

The map β is an isomorphism exactly over the locus of 'treelike curves' (curves whose dual graph has the property that every circuit in the graph has only one edge). This locus contains the curves of compact type, and also the locus denoted $\overline{\mathcal{M}}^{\circ}_{g,n}$ in the work of Grushevsky and Zakharov [GZ14] (and also used by Dudin

[Dud15]) for the study of the double ramification cycle. Indeed, a Néron model tautologically admits an abel-jacobi map, so it is perhaps unsurprising that the double ramification cycle is more accessible on this locus.

1.1 Statements of main results

We begin with the definition of a Néron model. This is the natural generalisation of [Hol14, definition 1.1] to the situation where the base is a stack.

Definition 1.1. Let S be a reduced algebraic stack and $U \hookrightarrow S$ a dense open substack. Let A/U be an abelian scheme. A *Néron model for A over S* is a smooth separated group algebraic space N/S together with an isomorphism $A \to N \times_S U$ satisfying the following universal property: *let $T \to S$ be a smooth morphism representable by algebraic spaces, and $f\colon T_U \to A = N_U$ any U-morphism. Then there exists a unique S-morphism $F\colon T \to N$ such that $F|_{T_U} = f$.*

By the results of [Hol14], it is clear that the universal jacobian over $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}$ does not in general admit a Néron model - more precisely, a Néron model will exist if and only if $g = n = 1$ or $g = 0$. Further, the same reference shows that blowing up or altering $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}$ will not affect this non-existence. On the other hand, we deduce from [Hol14, corollary 1.3] that there is an open substack U of $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}$ whose complement has codimension 2 and over which a (finite type) Néron model exists, and we also know that if T is any trait[1] and $f\colon T \to \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}$ is any map sending the generic point of T to a point in $\mathcal{M}_{g,n}$, then $f^*J_{g,n}$ admits a (finite type) Néron model.

Motivated by this, we ask for the 'best' morphism to $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}$ such that the pullback of the universal Jacobian $J_{g,n}$ admits a Néron model. To make this precise, we use a universal property:

Definition 1.2. A *Néron-model-admitting morphism* is a morphism $f\colon T \to \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}$ of algebraic stacks such that:

1. T is regular;
2. $f^{-1}\mathcal{M}_{g,n}$ is dense in T;
3. $f^*J_{g,n}$ admits a Néron model over T.

A *universal Néron-model-admitting morphism* is a terminal object in the 2-category of Néron-model-admitting morphisms.

Our main result is the following:

[1] The spectrum of a discrete valuation ring.

Theorem 1.3 (corollary 10.3)**.** *A universal Néron-model-admitting morphism* $\beta\colon \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n} \to \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}$ *exists.*

The morphism β is separated, locally of finite presentation, and relatively representable by algebraic spaces. Moreover, β satisfies the valuative criterion for properness for morphisms from traits to $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}$ which map the generic point of the trait to a point in $\mathcal{M}_{g,n}$. The Néron model of $J_{g,n}$ over $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}$ is of finite type over $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}$, and its fibrewise-connected-component-of-identity is semiabelian. The stack $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{g,h}$ is smooth over $\mathbb{Z}$.

Note that β is in general not quasi-compact (in fact, it is quasi-compact if and only if it is an isomorphism, if and only if $g = n = 1$ or $g = 0$). The statement about the valuative criterion for properness for certain traits can be thought of as saying 'suitable test curves in $\mathcal{M}_{g,n}$ extend to $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}$'. One might reasonably ask whether the same result holds true if $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n+1}/\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}$ is replaced by some other family of nodal or stable curves. In general the answer seems to be no; we make essential use of the precise structure of the non-smooth locus of $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n+1}/\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}$. The most general situation to which our results apply is described in theorem 10.2.

1.2 Comparison to Caporaso's balanced Picard stack

Let $g \geq 3$, and let d be an integer such that $\gcd(d-g+1, 2g-2) = 1$. In [Cap08], Caporaso constructs a 'balanced Picard stack' $\mathcal{P}_{d,g} \to \overline{\mathcal{M}}_g$, and shows that it acts as a 'universal Néron model' for smooth test curves $f\colon T \to \overline{\mathcal{M}}_g$ such that the corresponding stable curve X/T is regular. More precisely, for any such test curve, the scheme $f^*\mathcal{P}_{d,g}$ is canonically isomorphic to the Néron model of the degree-d jacobian of the generic fibre of X. Note that this canonical isomorphism is not a morphism of group spaces or torsors.

Our Néron model N_g of the universal jacobian J_g satisfies a similar property; it follows easily from the definition that for any test curve $f\colon T \to \overline{\mathcal{M}}_g$ such that the given curve X/T is regular, the map f factors (uniquely up to unique isomorphism) via a map $g\colon T \to \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_g$ and moreover we have that g^*N_g is canonically isomorphic *as a group space* to the Néron model of the jacobian of the generic fibre of $X \to T$. Moreover, we will see in section 13 that we can also treat the degree-d jacobian in a similar way.

These properties may seem rather similar, but there are important differences:

1. Caporaso's $\mathcal{P}_{d,g}$ is a scheme over $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_g$, whereas our Néron model N_g can only exist over $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_g$;

2. The scheme $\mathcal{P}_{d,g}$ can never admit a group or torsor structure compatible with that on the jacobian, whereas our Néron model N_g is automatically a group space;

The scheme $\mathcal{P}_{d,g}$ has a good universal property for test curves $f\colon T \to \overline{\mathcal{M}}_g$ such that the pullback of the universal curve to T is regular - roughly speaking, the test curve is 'sufficiently transverse to the boundary'. In contrast, our construction has a good universal property for all morphisms from test curves mapping the generic point into $\mathcal{M}_g$, and even for test object of higher dimensions. Indeed, test curves $f\colon T \to \overline{\mathcal{M}}_g$ such that the pullback of the universal curve to T is regular factor through a quasi-compact open subscheme $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_g^{\leq 1}$ of $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_g$. A precise description of $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_g^{\leq 1}$ is given in section 13, where we also show that $\mathcal{P}_{d,g}$ *does* admit a torsor structure after pullback to $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_g^{\leq 1}$, and give a more precise comparison to our Néron model.

In summary, it seems reasonable to say that our Néron model N_g over $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_g$ has a stronger universal property than Caporaso's $\mathcal{P}_{d,g}$, but this comes at the price of replacing $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_g$ by $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_g$.

1.3 Outline of the paper

In section 2 we give the definition of an aligned curve - this is very slightly different from the definition given in [Hol14] but is equivalent in the situations we care about, see remark 2.4. Recall from [Hol14, theorem 1.2] that there is a close connection between a curve being aligned and its jacobian admitting a Néron model.

In section 3 we define 'universal aligning morphisms'; the proof that they exist is in section 7. The sections in-between contain various intermediate results we need for the proof. In section 8 we show that, if the singularities of C/S are 'mild enough', then the universal aligning scheme is in fact regular (in particular, this holds in the universal case). We also show that the construction of the universal aligning morphism can be made slightly more explicit in this situation.

In section 9 we will show that, again if C/S has mild singularities, it is possible to resolve the singularities of the pulled-back curve over the universal aligning scheme. In section 10 we will apply this so show that the universal aligning morphism is in fact a universal Néron model admitting morphism.

Section 11 contains a worked example, and in section 13 we relate our results to some constructions of Caporaso from [Cap08].

In this paper, 'algebraic stack' means 'algebraic stack in the sense of [Sta13, Tag 026O]'.

1.4 Acknowledgements

The author would like to thank Omid Amini, Owen Biesel, José Burgos Gil, Alessandro Chiodo, Bas Edixhoven, Eduardo Esteves, Robin de Jong, Bashar

Dudin, Margarida Melo, Filippo Viviani and Morihiko Saito for helpful comments and discussions.

2 Definition and basic properties of aligned curves

In this section we briefly recall the definitions from [Hol14], where more precise statements can be found. For us 'monoid' means 'commutative monoid with zero', and a graph has finitely many edges and vertices, and is allowed loops and multiple edges between vertices. We are interested in graphs whose edges are labelled by elements of a monoid.

Definition 2.1. Let L be a monoid, and (H, ℓ) a 2-vertex-connected[2] graph labelled by L (so ℓ is a map from the set of edges of H to L). We say (H, ℓ) is *aligned* if there exists $l \in L$ and positive integers $n(e)$ for each edge e such that for all edges e we have

$$\ell(e) = l^{n(e)}.$$

Let L be a monoid, and (G, ℓ) a graph labelled by L. We say G is *aligned* if every 2-vertex connected subgraph of G is aligned.

Now we turn to nodal curves, by which we mean proper, flat curves whose geometric fibres are connected and have at worst ordinary double point singularities. These were called 'semistable curves' in [Hol14]. We recall from [Hol14, propositions 2.5 and 2.9] a result on the local structure of such curves:

Proposition 2.2. *Let S be a locally noetherian scheme, C/S a nodal curve, s a geometric point of S, and c a geometric point of C lying over s. Then there exists an element α in the maximal ideal of the étale local ring $\mathcal{O}^{\mathrm{et}}_{S,s}$ and an isomorphism of complete local rings*

$$\frac{\widehat{\mathcal{O}^{\mathrm{et}}_{S,s}}[[x,y]]}{(xy-\alpha)} \to \widehat{\mathcal{O}^{\mathrm{et}}_{C,c}}.$$

The element α is not in general unique, but, the ideal $\alpha\mathcal{O}^{\mathrm{et}}_{S,s} \lhd \mathcal{O}^{\mathrm{et}}_{S,s}$ is unique. We call it the singular ideal of c. *If C/S is smooth over a scheme-theoretically-dense open of S then the singular ideal is never a zero-divisor.*

Definition 2.3. Let S be a locally noetherian scheme and C/S a nodal curve. Let $s \in S$ be a geometric point, and write Γ for the dual graph[3] of the fibre C_s. Let $\mathrm{Prin}(\mathcal{O}^{\mathrm{et}}_{S,s})$ be the monoid of principal ideals of $\mathcal{O}^{\mathrm{et}}_{S,s}$, so $\mathrm{Prin}(\mathcal{O}^{\mathrm{et}}_{S,s}) = \mathcal{O}^{\mathrm{et}}_{S,s}/(\mathcal{O}^{\mathrm{et}}_{S,s})^{\times}$.

[2] i.e. connected and remains connected after removing any one vertex.

[3] Defined as in [Liu02, 10.3.17].

We label Γ by elements of $\mathrm{Prin}(\mathcal{O}^{\mathrm{et}}_{S,s})$ by assigning to an edge $e \in \Gamma$ the singular ideal $l \in \mathrm{Prin}(\mathcal{O}^{\mathrm{et}}_{S,s})$ of the singular point of C_s associated to e.

We say C/S *is aligned at* s if and only if this labelled graph is aligned. We say C/S *is aligned* if it is aligned at s for every geometric point $s \in S$.

Remark 2.4. In [Hol14] we used a slightly different definition of alignment, namely we said (H, ℓ) was aligned if for all pairs of edges e, e' there exist positive integers n, n' such that $\ell(e)^n = \ell(e')^{n'}$. When we want to distinguish between these notions we will call the version from [Hol14] 'irregularly aligned' and the new version in this paper 'regularly aligned'. If C/S is regularly aligned then it is irregularly aligned, and if S has factorial étale local rings (for example if S is regular) then the converse holds. In this paper, we will construct a universal regularly-aligning morphism, and a Néron model over it. A universal irregularly-aligning morphism also exists, but the universal object is not in general regular, and so we cannot construct a Néron model over it (probably one does not exist).

Remark 2.5. The notion of alignment is fppf-local on the target, i.e. it is preserved under flat base-change and satisfies fppf descent. We sketch a proof of this fact: let $R \to R'$ be a faithfully flat ring map, and let r_1, $r_2 \in R$ and a, u_1, $u_2 \in R'$ with u_i units and $r_i = u_i a^{n_i}$ for some $n_i \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$. Suppose the map

$$R \to R[t, u_1^{\pm 1}, u_2^{\pm 1}]/(r_1 - u_1 t^{n_1}, r_2 - u_2 t^{n_2})$$

becomes an isomorphism after base-change to R', then by faithful flatness it was an isomorphism.

Definition 2.6. Let S be a locally noetherian algebraic stack, and C/S a nodal curve. Let $S' \to S$ be a smooth cover by a scheme. We say that C/S is aligned if and only if $C \times_S S' \to S'$ is aligned. This makes sense by remark 2.5.

3 Universal aligning morphisms

Definition 3.1. Let S be a locally noetherian and reduced algebraic stack, and $U \hookrightarrow S$ a dense open substack. Let C/S be a nodal curve which is smooth over U.

1. Let $f\colon T \to S$ be a morphism of algebraic stacks. We say that f is *non-degenerate* if T is reduced and $U \times_S T$ is dense in T.

2. Let $f\colon T \to S$ be a non-degenerate morphism of locally-noetherian stacks. We say f is *aligning* if $C \times_S T \to T$ is aligned.

3. The category of aligning morphisms is defined as the full sub-2-category of stacks over S whose objects are aligning morphisms.

4. A *universal aligning morphism* is a terminal object in the 2-category of aligning morphisms over S.

Remark 3.2.

1. A large part of the paper is taken up with proving the existence and certain properties of a universal aligning morphism in the case of the universal stable curve.

2. We will show that the universal aligning morphism is in fact a separated algebraic space locally of finite type over S.

Later we will show that universal aligning morphisms exist, but for now we will just show that they pull back nicely if they do exist.

Lemma 3.3. *Let S be a reduced locally noetherian stack, C/S a nodal curve smooth over a dense open $U \hookrightarrow S$, and let $f\colon T \to S$ be a non-degenerate morphism. Write $\tilde{S}/S$ for the universal aligning morphism for C/S, and $\tilde{T}/T$ for the universal aligning morphism for C_T/T - we assume that both exist. Then*

1. *The natural map $f^*\tilde{S} \to T$ is aligning for C_T/T, so we get a map $\varphi\colon f^*\tilde{S} \to \tilde{T}$;*

2. *The map φ is an isomorphism.*

Proof.

1. The pullback of an aligned curve is again aligned, so we immediately get that $f^*\tilde{S} \to T$ is aligning for C_T/T.

2. The composite $\tilde{f}\colon \tilde{T} \to T \to S$ is non-degenerate since $f^{-1}U$ is dense in $\tilde{T}$. Also, $\tilde{f}^*C/\tilde{T}$ is aligned by definition of $\tilde{T}$, so $\tilde{f}$ is aligning. Hence $\tilde{f}$ factors via $\tilde{S} \to S$, yielding a map $\psi\colon \tilde{T} \to f^*\tilde{S}$. The uniqueness part of the universal property then shows that ψ is an inverse to φ.

□

4 Quasisplit curves

In order to construct the universal aligning morphism by descent, we will make use of the notion of a 'quasisplit' nodal curve. This differs from (though is somewhat similar to) the notion of a split nodal curve in [dJ96]; neither is stronger than the other.

Definition 4.1. Let S be a scheme, and let C/S be a nodal curve. We write $\mathrm{Sing}(C/S)$ for the closed subscheme of C where $C \to S$ is not smooth (more precisely, it is the closed subscheme cut out by the first fitting ideal of the sheaf of relative 1-forms of C/S). We say C/S is *quasisplit* if the following two conditions hold:

4.1.1 the morphism $\mathrm{Sing}(C/S) \to S$ is an immersion Zariski-locally on the source (for example, a disjoint union of closed immersions);

4.1.2 for every field-valued fibre C_k of C/S, every irreducible component of C_k is geometrically irreducible.

Remark 4.2. Given a quasisplit nodal curve C/S and a geometric point $\bar{s}$ of S with image $s \in S$, the graph $\Gamma_{\bar{s}}$ depends only on s and not on $\bar{s}$. As such, it makes sense to talk about the dual graph of C_s for $s \in S$ a point in. Moreover, the labels on such a graph, which a-priori live in the étale local ring at $\bar{s}$, are easily seen to live in the henselisation of the Zariski local ring, by condition 4.1.2. Applying condition 4.1.1, we see that the labels in fact live in the Zariski local ring at s.

Summarising, given a quasisplit curve C/S and a point $s \in S$, it makes sense to talk about the labelled dual graph of the fibre of C over s, and the labels live in the Zariski local ring at s. For the remainder of this paper, we will do this without further comment.

Lemma 4.3. *Let S be a locally noetherian scheme and C/S a nodal curve. Then there exists an étale cover $f\colon S' \to S$ such that $f^*C \to S'$ is quasisplit.*

Proof. First we construct an étale cover over which the non-smooth locus is a disjoint union of closed immersions. Note first that this holds after base-change to the étale local ring at any geometric point, by the structure of finite unramified modules over strictly henselian local rings [Sta13, Tag 04GL]. Since C/S is of finite presentation, one can show by a standard argument that the same statement holds on some étale cover.

The non-smooth locus being a union of closed immersions is a property stable under base-change, so we now construct a further étale cover over which the irreducible components of fibres become geometrically irreducible. This is easy: if $C \to S$ admits a section through the smooth locus of a given irreducible component of a field-valued fibre, then that component is geometrically irreducible. Moreover, the smooth locus of C/S is dense in every fibre. As such, it is enough to show that, étale-locally, there is a section through the smooth locus of every component of every field-valued fibre. This holds since a smooth morphism admits étale-local sections through every point. □

5 Specialisation maps between labelled graphs for quasisplit curves

Given a quasisplit nodal curve C/S, and two points s, ζ of S with s in the closure of ζ, we will show that there is a well-defined 'specialisation map' $\Gamma_s \to \Gamma_\zeta$ on the labelled graphs. First, we need to define a morphism of labelled graphs.

Definition 5.1. A morphism of graphs sends vertices to vertices, and sends edges to either edges or vertices (thinking of the latter as 'contracting an edge'), such that the obvious compatibility conditions hold.

A morphism of edge-labelled graphs

$$\varphi\colon (\Gamma, \ell\colon \text{edges}(\Gamma) \to L) \to (\Gamma', \ell'\colon \text{edges}(\Gamma') \to L')$$

is a pair consisting of a morphism of graphs $\Gamma \to \Gamma'$ and a morphism of monoids from L to L' such that the labellings on non-contracted edges match up. An isomorphism is a morphism with a two-sided inverse.

Definition 5.2. Let S be a locally noetherian scheme, and let C/S be a quasisplit nodal curve. Let $s, \zeta \in S$ be two points such that ζ specialises to s (i.e. $s \in \overline{\{\zeta\}}$). Write Γ_s and Γ_ζ for the corresponding labelled graphs - recall from remark 4.2 that this makes sense without choosing separable closures of the residue fields, and moreover that the labels of Γ_s and Γ_ζ may be taken to lie in the Zariski local rings at s and ζ respectively. Write

$$\text{sp}\colon \mathcal{O}_{S,s} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{S,\zeta}$$

for the canonical (injective) map.

We will define a map of labelled graphs

$$\varphi\colon \Gamma_s \to \Gamma_\zeta,$$

writing φ_V for the map on vertices and φ_E for the map on edges. First we define the map on vertices. Let $v \in V_\zeta$ be a vertex of Γ_ζ. Then v corresponds to an irreducible component v of the fibre over ζ. Let $\mathscr{V}$ denote the Zariski closure of this component in C. Then $\mathscr{V} \times_S s$ is a union of irreducible components of C_s, call them $v_1, \cdots, v_n$. We define $\varphi_V(v_i) = v$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$. One checks easily that each irreducible component of C_s arrises in this way from exactly one vertex of Γ_ζ, so we obtain a well-defined map $\varphi_V\colon V_s \to V_\zeta$.

Next we define the map on edges. Write $Z = \overline{\{\zeta\}} \subseteq S$ for the closure of ζ. Let $e \in E_s$ be an edge of Γ_s. Then there are exactly two possibilities[4]:

[4]To see this, note first that a section in C_T/T as in case 1 is unique if it exists. Suppose we have $\varphi_V(v_1) \neq \varphi_V(v_2)$. Then v_1 is contained in some irreducible component T_1 of C_T, and v_2 is in a component T_2, with $T_1 \neq T_2$. In this situation observe that $e \in T_1 \cap T_2$, and (by considering the local structure of the singularities of a quasisplit nodal curve) we find that $T_1 \cap T_2$ is locally on Z a union of sections, so case 1 must hold.

Case 1) there exists an open neighbourhood $s \in Z^0 \subseteq Z$ and a unique section $\tilde{e}\colon Z^0 \to \mathrm{Sing}(C_{Z^0}/Z^0)$ such that $(\tilde{e})_s = e$. Then define $\varphi_E(e) = (\tilde{e})_\zeta$;

Case 2) case 1 does not hold *and* (writing v_1, v_2 for the endpoints of e) we have that $\varphi_V(v_1) = \varphi_V(v_2)$. Then map e to $\varphi_V(v_1)$.

In fact, case 2 holds if and only if the label $l(e)$ is a unit at ζ.

A more intuitive description of the specialisation morphism $\varphi\colon \Gamma_s \to \Gamma_\zeta$ on labelled graphs may be given as follows: starting with Γ_s, first replace each label by its image under sp. Then contract every edge whose label is a unit. This is exactly the labelled graph Γ_ζ. Given that such a simple description is available, why did we give the long-winded definition above? Essentially this is because it is otherwise not a-priori clear that the labelled graph resulting from this simple description is (naturally) isomorphic to the labelled graph Γ_ζ.

6 Centrally controlled curves

Definition 6.1. Let C/S be a nodal curve. A point $\mathfrak{s} \in S$ is called a *controlling point* for C/S if the following conditions hold:

1. S is affine and noetherian, and C/S is quasisplit;
2. for each edge e of $\Gamma_\mathfrak{s}$, the intersection of label(e) with $\mathcal{O}_S(S)$ inside the local ring $\mathcal{O}_{S,\mathfrak{s}}$ is a principal ideal;
3. for every point s in S, there exists a point $\eta_s \in S$ such that
   (a) both s and $\mathfrak{s}$ are in the closure of η_s;
   (b) the specialisation map $\Gamma_s \to \Gamma_{\eta_s}$ is an isomorphism on the underlying graphs.

By (2), we can think of the labels of $\Gamma_\mathfrak{s}$ as being principal ideals in $\mathcal{O}_S(S)$. We will generally reserve lowercase fraktur letters $\mathfrak{s}$ and $\mathfrak{t}$ for controlling points.

Definition 6.2. Let C/S be a nodal curve over a locally noetherian scheme. Let τ be in {smooth, étale}. A *controlled τ-cover* of C/S consists of a collection $(S_i, \mathfrak{s}_i)_{i\in I}$ of pointed schemes and a map $\bigsqcup_{i\in I} S_i \to S$ such that

1. $\bigsqcup_{i\in I} S_i \to S$ is a cover in the τ-topology;
2. for each i, the point $\mathfrak{s}_i$ is a controlling point for $C \times_S S_i$.

Lemma 6.3. *Let C/S be a quasisplit curve over a locally noetherian scheme, and $\mathfrak{s} \in S$ a point. Then there exists an open neighbourhood V of $\mathfrak{s}$ in S such that $\mathfrak{s}$ is a controlling point for C_V/V.*

Proof. Shrinking S, we may assume that S is affine and that every label on the graph $\Gamma_{\mathfrak{s}}$ is generated by an element of $\mathcal{O}_S(S)$ (i.e. these locally-principal ideals are principal). Then delete from S every irreducible component that does not contain $\mathfrak{s}$.

Let Σ denote the smallest collection of (reduced) closed subsets of S which is closed under:

- pairwise intersections;
- taking irreducible components;

and which contains the image in S of $\mathrm{Sing}(C/S)$.

Now set

$$Z \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \bigcup \{\sigma \in \Sigma | \mathfrak{s} \notin \sigma\},$$

the union of all elements of Σ which don't contain $\mathfrak{s}$. Note this is a closed subset since Σ is finite. Let V denote the complement of Z in S.

Now let $s \in V$ be any point. Suppose first that Γ_s consists of a single vertex and no edges - this is equivalent to saying that s is not contained in any element of Σ, or to saying that C_s/s is smooth. Now the locus where C_V/V is smooth is open in V and is non-empty (since it contains s). Since every irreducible component of V contains $\mathfrak{s}$ by assumption. Hence we can find a point η_s with C_{η_s}/η_s smooth and such that both $\mathfrak{s}$ and s are specialisations of η_s.

Suppose now that C_s/s is not smooth. Let $\sigma \in \Sigma$ be the smallest element of Σ which contains s (note that σ contains $\mathfrak{s}$ by construction), and let η_s be the generic point of σ. Then it is clear that both $\mathfrak{s}$ and s are contained in the closure of η_s. It remains to see that the specialisation map

$$\mathrm{sp}\colon \Gamma_s \to \Gamma_{\eta_s}$$

is an isomorphism on the underlying graphs. This is equivalent to checking that no label of Γ_s is mapped to a unit in $\mathcal{O}_{S,\eta_s}$. Well, any label l on an edge of Γ_s which becomes a unit at η_s will cut out a proper closed subscheme of σ containing s, but this is impossible by the definition of σ. □

Lemma 6.4. *Let C/S be a nodal curve over a locally noetherian base. Then there exists an étale controlling cover for C/S.*

Proof. By lemma 4.3, we may assume C/S is quasisplit, and it is enough to show that every point $\mathfrak{s} \in S$ has an open neighbourhood for which $\mathfrak{s}$ is a controlling point. We are done by lemma 6.3. □

7 Construction of universal aligning morphisms

Now we will prove existence of universal aligning morphisms, and later some properties.

7.1 The case of controlled curves

Throughout this section we fix a nodal curve C/S and a controlling point $\mathfrak{s} \in S$.

A *circuit* in a graph is a path which starts and ends at the same point, and otherwise does not repeat any edge or vertex.

Definition 7.1. Let $G = (V, E, \text{ends})$ be a finite graph. To any subset $E_0 \subseteq E$ we associate the unique subgraph of G with edges E_0 and with no isolated vertices - we will often fail to distinguish between E_0 and the subgraph. We say E_0 is *circuit-connected* if for every pair e, e' of distinct edges in E_0 there is at least one circuit $\gamma \subseteq E_0$ such that $e \in \gamma$ and $e' \in \gamma$.

Lemma 7.2. *The maximal circuit-connected subsets of E form a partition of E.*

Proof. Let $e \in E$, then $\{e\}$ is circuit-connected. It suffices to show that if $\mathbb{E}$ and $\mathbb{E}'$ are both circuit-connected and both contain e, then the union $\mathbb{E} \cup \mathbb{E}'$ is also circuit-connected. If a and b are edges in $\mathbb{E} \cup \mathbb{E}'$, then let γ_a denote a circuit in $\mathbb{E} \cup \mathbb{E}'$ containing both a and e, and let γ_b be a circuit in $\mathbb{E} \cup \mathbb{E}'$ containing both b and e. We will construct a circuit in $\mathbb{E} \cup \mathbb{E}'$ containing both a and b.

We will do this by 'splicing' b into γ_a. Let p_0 and p_1 be the ends of b (necessarily distinct unless $a = b$). Let γ_0 be the shortest sub-path path of γ_b which starts at p_0, does not contain b, and which meets γ_a (say at a point q_0). Define γ_1 and q_1 similarly. Let γ' denote the sub-path of γ_a which goes between q_0 and q_1 and which contains a. Then the union of γ', γ_0, γ_1 and b is a circuit containing a and b. □

We write $\text{Part}(G)$ for this partition. We can give a couple of alternative descriptions of this partition:

1. For each loop l, $\{l\} \in \text{Part}(G)$. For each 2-vertex-connected component H of the graph obtained from G by deleting loops, $\text{Part}(G) \ni \text{edges}(H)$ (cf. [Hol14]).

2. For each $e \in E$ let $X(e)$ denote the union of $\{e\}$ with all the other edges which are contained in any common circuit with e. Then if two $X(e)$ have non-empty intersection they must be equal, so in this way we obtain a partition of the edges of G, and it is exactly the partition given above.

Definition 7.3 (Thickness function)**.** Let E denote the set of edges of the graph $\Gamma_{\mathfrak{s}}$. A *thickness function* is a function

$$M\colon E \to \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$$

satisfying the following condition:

Let Γ_M be the graph obtained from $\Gamma_{\mathfrak{s}}$ by contracting every edge e such that $M(e) = 0$. We then require that for each set $\mathbb{E} \in \mathrm{Part}(\Gamma_M)$, we have $\gcd(M(\mathbb{E})) = 1$.

We now give three examples of controlled curves and the possible thickness functions.

Example 7.4. Let $S = \operatorname{Spec} \mathbb{C}[[u, v]]$, and let $\mathfrak{s}$ be the closed point. Assume $\mathfrak{s}$ is a controlling point, and suppose the graph over $\mathfrak{s}$ is



with $\mathrm{label}(e_1) = (u)$, $\mathrm{label}(e_2) = (v)$. Then thickness functions are exactly those functions which send the two edges to non-negative coprime integers, e.g.

$$M(e_1) = 2, \qquad M(e_2) = 3$$

or

$$M(e_1) = 0, \qquad M(e_2) = 1.$$

Example 7.5. Let $S = \operatorname{Spec} \mathbb{C}[[u, v, w]]$, and let $\mathfrak{s}$ be the closed point. Assume $\mathfrak{s}$ is a controlling point, and suppose the graph over $\mathfrak{s}$ is



with $\mathrm{label}(e_1) = (u)$, $\mathrm{label}(e_2) = (v)$ and $\mathrm{label}(e_3) = (w)$. Then again the thickness functions are exactly those functions which send the three edges to non-negative integers with no common factor, e.g.

$$M(e_1) = 2, \qquad M(e_2) = 3, \qquad M(e_3) = 5$$

or

$$M(e_1) = 0, \qquad M(e_2) = 2, \qquad M(e_3) = 3$$

or

$$M(e_1) = 0, \qquad M(e_2) = 0, \qquad M(e_3) = 1.$$

Example 7.6. Let $S = \operatorname{Spec} \mathbb{C}[[u, v, w]]$, and let $\mathfrak{s}$ be the closed point. Assume $\mathfrak{s}$ is a controlling point, and suppose the graph over $\mathfrak{s}$ is



with label$(e_1) = (u)$, label$(e_2) = (v)$ and label$(e_3) = (w)$ Then every function M which sends the three edges to *positive* integers with no common factor is a thickness function, but if M sends one of the e_i to 0 then the other two e_j become loops in the graph Γ_M obtained by contracting e_i, and so must be sent to 1. Thickness functions are exactly those sending the e_i to positive coprime integers, and those sending (e_1, e_2, e_3) to some permutation of $(0, 1, 1)$ and $(0, 0, 1)$.

We will now set up some notation which we will use repeatedly for the remainder of this paper.

Setup 7.7.

1. C/S is a nodal curve with controlling point $\mathfrak{s}$;
2. We write $R = \mathcal{O}_S(S)$ (so $S = \operatorname{Spec} R$);
3. $U \hookrightarrow S$ is the largest open in S over which C is smooth, and we assume U is dense in S;
4. M is a thickness function, and Γ_M is the graph obtained from $\Gamma_{\mathfrak{s}}$ by contracting every edge e such that $M(e) = 0$;

Definition 7.8. Notation as in Setup 7.7, and let $\mathbb{E} \in \operatorname{Part}(\Gamma_M)$. Write $M(e) = m_e$, and write $\ell(e) \in R$ for the label of an edge e. Let $n_\star \colon \mathbb{E} \to \mathbb{Z}$ be a function such that $\sum_{e \in \mathbb{E}} n_e m_e = 1$ - this is possible by the coprimality condition. Define

$$R'_{\mathbb{E}} = \frac{R[a, u_e^{\pm 1} : e \in \mathbb{E}]}{(\ell(e) - a^{m_e} u_e : e \in \mathbb{E}, \;\; 1 - \prod_{e \in \mathbb{E}} u_e^{n_e})}.$$

This depends on the n_e (so the notation is not good). However, this dependence will turn out not to matter (see proposition 7.13). To simplify the notation, we will assume that a choice of n_e has been made once-and-for-all for every collection of m_e.

We have a natural map

$$\begin{aligned} R'_{\mathbb{E}} &\to \mathcal{O}_U(U) \\ a &\mapsto \prod_{e\in\mathbb{E}} \ell(e)^{n_e} \\ u_e &\mapsto \ell(e)a^{-m_e}. \end{aligned}$$

We call the element $a \in R'_{\mathbb{E}}$ the *aligning element* of $R'_{\mathbb{E}}$.

Definition 7.9. Notation as in Setup 7.7. Define

$$R'_M = \bigotimes_{\mathbb{E}\in \mathrm{Part}(\Gamma_M)} R'_{\mathbb{E}}.$$

By the universal property of the tensor product we get a natural map

$$R'_M \to \mathcal{O}_U(U),$$

and we define R_M to be the image of R'_M under the above map. Set $S_M = \operatorname{Spec} R_M$ (in other words, S_M is the closure of the image of U in $\operatorname{Spec} R'_M$ under the given map). Write $a_{\mathbb{E}}$ for the image in R_M of the aligning element in $R'_{\mathbb{E}}$, then set $a := (a_{\mathbb{E}})_{\mathbb{E}\in\mathrm{Part}(\Gamma_0)}$.

Remark 7.10. In the above definition, the procedure of 'take the product, then take the image of the result in $\mathcal{O}_U(U)$' is essentially a way to 'splice together' all the rings of relations $R'_{\mathbb{E}}$ without worrying about how the relations they capture are connected to each other. In general this image R_M is not very explicit (for example, it is not immediately apparent how to write down generators and relations for it, given the same for the $R_{\mathbb{E}}$). On the other hand, if the singularities of C/S are 'mild enough' (for example, in the universal case) then we find that $R_M = R'_M$ - see lemma 8.7.

Definition 7.11. Notation as in Setup 7.7. Let $f\colon T \to S$ be a non-degenerate morphism. We say f is *M-aligning* if for all $\mathbb{E} \in \mathrm{Part}(\Gamma_M)$ there exists $a_{\mathbb{E}} \in \mathcal{O}_T(T)$ such that for all $e \in \mathbb{E}$ we have $f^* \operatorname{label}(e) = (a_{\mathbb{E}})^{M(e)}$.

Lemma 7.12. *Notation as in Setup 7.7. Let $f\colon T \to S$ be an aligning morphism. Then there exists an étale cover $\bigsqcup_{i\in I} T_i \to T$, and for each i a thickness function M_i, such that each $T_i \to S$ is M_i-aligning.*

Proof. By lemma 4.3 we may assume T is quasisplit. Let $\mathfrak{t} \in T$ be any point. Shrinking T, we may assume by lemma 6.3 that $\mathfrak{t}$ is a controlling point for f^*C/T. We are done if we can find a thickness function M such that, after possibly shrinking T further, we have that C_T/T is M-aligning.

Let L_{units} denote the set of labels of edges of $\Gamma_{\mathfrak{s}}$ which are units at $f(\mathfrak{t}) \in S$ - this is exactly the same as the set of labels of edges of $\Gamma_{\mathfrak{s}}$ which are contracted by the natural map to $\Gamma_{f(\mathfrak{t})}$ (cf. definition 5.2). Because $\mathfrak{t}$ is controlling for f^*C/T, we see that L_{units} is also exactly the set of labels of edges of $\Gamma_{\mathfrak{s}}$ which pull back to units on T (recalling that we can think of the labels of $\Gamma_{\mathfrak{s}}$ as principal ideals in R). The thickness function M we will construct will take the value 0 exactly on edges in L_{units}.

Because f is aligning, we know that for each set $\mathbb{E} \in \text{Part}(\Gamma_{\mathfrak{t}})$ there exists a principal ideal $a_{\mathbb{E}} \triangleleft \mathcal{O}_{T,\mathfrak{t}}$ such that every edge in $\mathbb{E}$ is labelled by some power of $a_{\mathbb{E}}$ - write $\text{label}(e) = a_{\mathbb{E}}^{m_e}$. Moreover, replacing $a_{\mathbb{E}}$ by some positive power, we may assume for each $\mathbb{E}$ that

$$\gcd\{m_e : e \in \mathbb{E}\} = 1.$$

Define $M(e) = m_e$ if $\text{label}(e) \notin L_{\text{units}}$, and $M(e) = 0$ otherwise.

Now shrinking T we may assume that each $a_{\mathbb{E}}$ stays a principal ideal in $\mathcal{O}_T(T)$, and moreover that for every $\mathbb{E}$ and every $e \in \mathbb{E}$, the relation $\text{label}(e) = a_{\mathbb{E}}^{M(e)}$ holds globally on T. □

We define a universal M-aligning morphism to S in an analogous manner to the definition of the universal aligning morphism, except that it depends on the controlling point $\mathfrak{s} \in S$ and the thickness function M.

Proposition 7.13. *Notation as in Setup 7.7. The natural map $S_M \to S$ is a universal M-aligning morphism.*

Proof. It is clear that $S_M \to S$ is M-aligning. Let $f\colon T \to S$ be any M-aligning morphism. The uniqueness of a factorisation of f via S_M is clear as f is non-degenerate and $S_M \to S$ is affine and hence separated. Moreover, a factorisation via S'_M yields a factorisation via S_M since U is dense in T. Fix $\mathbb{E} \in \text{Part}(\Gamma_M)$; by the universal property of the fibre product it is enough to construct a factorisation of f via $\operatorname{Spec} R'_{\mathbb{E}}$.

By definition of f being M-aligning, there is an element $a \in \mathcal{O}_T(T)$ such that for all $e \in \mathbb{E}$, we have $f^* \text{label}(e) = (a)^{M(e)}$. Choose $n_e : e \in \mathbb{E}$ such that $\sum_{e\in\mathbb{E}} M(e)n_e = 1$. Then we define an R-algebra map

$$\begin{aligned} R'_{\mathbb{E}} &\to \mathcal{O}_T(T) \\ a &\mapsto \prod_{e\in\mathbb{E}} (f^* \text{label}(e))^{n_e} \\ u_e &\mapsto f^* \text{label}(e)/a^{M(e)} \end{aligned}$$

(note $\prod_{e\in\mathbb{E}}(f^* \text{label}(e))^{n_e} = (a)$). This yields a map $T \to \operatorname{Spec} R'_{\mathbb{E}}$ as required. □

Definition 7.14. Notation as in Setup 7.7. Let M, N be two thickness functions. Define

$$\delta_M = \bigcup \{\mathbb{E} \in \mathrm{Part}(\Gamma_M) : \exists e \in \mathbb{E} \text{ with } M(e) \neq N(e)\}$$

and

$$\delta_N = \bigcup \{\mathbb{E} \in \mathrm{Part}(\Gamma_N) : \exists e \in \mathbb{E} \text{ with } M(e) \neq N(e)\},$$

then set $\delta_{M,N} = \delta_M \cup \delta_N$. Then define

$$S_{M,N} = \mathrm{Spec}\, R_M[\mathrm{label}(e)^{-1} : e \in \delta_{M,N}]$$

(here we slightly abuse notation by adjoining inverses of principal ideals), so $S_{M,N}$ is an open subscheme of S_M.

It is clear that $S_{M,N} \to S$ is M-aligning, since it factors via $S_M \to S$. Part of the point of the definition is that we also have:

Lemma 7.15. *In the above notation:*

1. *The morphism $S_{M,N} \to S$ is N-aligning;*
2. *The induced map $S_{M,N} \to S_N$ factors via $S_{N,M} \to S_N$.*

Proof. 1. Let $\mathbb{E} \in \mathrm{Part}(\Gamma_N)$. We want to show that there exists an element $a \in R$ such that for all $e \in \mathbb{E}$, we have $\mathrm{label}(e) = (a)^{N(e)}$. Well, if $M|_{\mathbb{E}} \neq N|_{\mathbb{E}}$ then $\mathbb{E} \subseteq \delta_{M,N}$ so we may take $a = 1$. On the other hand, if $M|_{\mathbb{E}} = N|_{\mathbb{E}}$ then there exists $\mathbb{E}_M \in \mathrm{Part}(\Gamma_M)$ such that $\mathbb{E} \subseteq \mathbb{E}_M$, and we may take the same aligning element a as works for $\mathbb{E}_M$.

2. By the universal property of localisation, it is enough to show that all the functions on S_N which become units on $S_{N,M}$ also become units on $S_{M,N}$, but this is clear since $\delta_{M,N} = \delta_{N,M}$.

□

From this lemma and symmetry we obtain a canonical isomorphism $S_{M,N} \to S_{N,M}$ for all pairs of thickness functions M, N.

Definition 7.16. Notation as in Setup 7.7. Define $\beta\colon \tilde{S} \to S$ to be the result of glueing together all the S_N as N runs over all thickness functions, along the open subschemes $S_{N,N'}$.

Proposition 7.17. *The map $\beta\colon \tilde{S} \to S$ is separated.*

Proof. The map $\beta\colon \tilde{S} \to S$ is quasi-separated because $\tilde{S}$ is locally noetherian, so it is enough to check the valuative criterion for separatedness. Let V denote the spectrum of a valuation ring, with generic point η and closed point v. Let $f, g\colon V \to \tilde{S}$ be morphisms which agree on η and such that the composites with the canonical map $\tilde{S} \to S$ agree - in particular, $f^* \operatorname{label}(e) = g^* \operatorname{label}(e)$ for every edge e of $\Gamma_{\mathfrak{s}}$. We will show that $f = g$.

First, the S_N form an open cover of S as N runs over thickness functions, so there exist thickness functions M and N such that f factors via $S_M \to S$ and g factors via $S_N \to S$. If $M = N$ then the result is clear since $S_M \to S$ is affine and hence separated. Thus we may as well assume that $M \neq N$.

We will show that both f and g factor via $S_{M,N}$, which is affine over S and so $f = g$. To do this, we need to show that for every edge $e \in \delta_{M,N}$ we have that $f^* \operatorname{label}(e)$ is a unit on V. Well, fix some $e_0 \in \delta_{M,N}$. Then (perhaps switching M and N) we may assume that there exists $\mathbb{E} \in \operatorname{Part}(\Gamma_M)$ such that $e \in \mathbb{E}$ and such that $N|_{\mathbb{E}} \neq M|_{\mathbb{E}}$ (and note that M does not vanish on any edge in $\mathbb{E}$). Since f is M-aligning, we know there exists $a \in \mathcal{O}_V(V)$ such that for all $e \in \mathbb{E}$, we have $f^* \operatorname{label}(e) = (a)^{M(e)}$.

Observe that $f^* \operatorname{label}(e_0) \neq 0$, otherwise we cannot have $f(\eta) \in S_{M,N}$, which contradicts the fact that f and g agree on η. Since $M(e_0) \neq 0$, this tells us that $a \neq 0$.

We now divide into two cases:

Case 1. There exists $e' \in \mathbb{E}$ such that $N(e') = 0$. Then $g^* \operatorname{label}(e')$ is a unit, so $a^{M(e')}$ is a unit, so a is a unit, so all the $f^* \operatorname{label}(e)$ for $e \in \mathbb{E}$ are units as required.

Case 2. N does not vanish on any $e \in \mathbb{E}$. Then there exists $\mathbb{E}_N \in \operatorname{Part}(\Gamma_N)$ such that $\mathbb{E} \subseteq \mathbb{E}_N$, so there exists $b \in \mathcal{O}_V(V)$ such that for all $e \in \mathbb{E}_N$ we have $g^* \operatorname{label}(e) = (b)^{N(e)}$, so certainly the same holds for $\mathbb{E}$. Now since $M|_{\mathbb{E}} \neq N|_{\mathbb{E}}$ and M does not vanish on $\mathbb{E}$, there exist integers $c_e : e \in \mathbb{E}$ such that

$$d := \sum_{e \in \mathbb{E}} c_e M(e) \neq 0 \text{ and } \sum_{e \in \mathbb{E}} c_e N(e) = 0.$$

Moreover, a similar argument to that above tells us that $b \neq 0$. It is enough to show that a is a unit on V.

Pick a generator $\ell(e)$ of the principal ideal $\operatorname{label}(e)$ for each $e \in \mathbb{E}$. Then we find that, up to multiplication by units on V, we have

$$\prod_{e \in \mathbb{E}} f^* \ell(e)^{c_e} = \prod_{e \in \mathbb{E}} a^{c_e M(e)} = a^d$$

and

$$\prod_{e \in \mathbb{E}} g^* \ell(e)^{c_e} = \prod_{e \in \mathbb{E}} b^{c_e M(e)} = 1.$$

The left hand sides are equal, so a^d is a unit on V, so a is a unit on V and we are done.

□

Lemma 7.18. *Notation as in Setup 7.7. Then $\beta\colon \tilde{S} \to S$ is a universal aligning morphism for C/S. The map β is locally of finite presentation.*

Proof. Let $f\colon T \to S$ be aligning. The uniqueness of a factorisation of f via β holds because f is non-degenerate and β is separated by proposition 7.17. The existence comes from combining lemma 7.12 and proposition 7.13 with descent.

Local finite presentation holds because the S_M are clearly of finite presentation. □

7.2 Universal aligning morphisms: the general case

Theorem 7.19. *Let C/S a generically-smooth nodal curve over a reduced locally noetherian algebraic stack. Then a universal aligning morphism for C/S exists, and is a separated algebraic space locally of finite presentation over S.*

Proof. The stack S admits a smooth cover by a scheme (which is necessarily reduced and locally noetherian). A universal aligning morphism will descend along a smooth cover (as will the property of being an algebraic space) since it is defined by a universal property. As such, it is enough to consider the case where S is a scheme. Similarly, by lemma 6.4 we can assume that C/S has a controlling point $\mathfrak{s}$. The existence then follows from lemma 7.18 and effectivity of descent for algebraic spaces. Separatedness and local finite presentation follow by étale descent of those properties, and the same lemma. □

8 Regularity and normal crossings

Definition 8.1 (Normal crossings singularities)**.** Notation as in Setup 7.7. We say C/S has *normal crossings singularities* if the sequence $(\mathrm{label}(e) : e \in \Gamma_{\mathfrak{s}})$ has normal crossings - in other words, if for every set $J \subseteq \mathrm{edges}(\Gamma_{\mathfrak{s}})$, we have that the closed subscheme

$$V(\mathrm{label}(e) : e \in J) \subseteq S$$

is regular and has codimension $\#J$ in S at every point in that subscheme.

Definition 8.2 (Étale normal crossings singularities)**.** Let C/S be a nodal curve over a locally noetherian scheme. We say C/S has *étale normal crossings singularities* if for every geometric point $\bar{s}$ of S, and for every subset $J \subseteq \mathrm{edges}(\Gamma_{\bar{s}})$, the closed subscheme

$$V(\mathrm{label}(e) : e \in J) \subseteq \operatorname{Spec} \mathcal{O}_{S,\bar{s}}$$

is regular and has codimension $\#J$.

Note that having étale normal crossings singularities is smooth-local on the target, and so makes sense when S is an algebraic stack.

Lemma 8.3. *Let C/S be a nodal curve over an excellent scheme with étale normal crossings singularities. Then there exists an étale controlled cover $\bigsqcup_{i\in I} S_i \to S$ (with controlling points $\mathfrak{s}_i \in S_i$) such that the pullback of C to each S_i has normal crossings singularities.*

Proof. This is clear by lemma 6.3, the finiteness of the sets of edges, and the openness of the regular locus in an excellent scheme. □

Suppose C/S has étale normal crossings singularities. In particular, by taking J to be empty, we deduce that S must be regular. It is then easy to check that C is also regular. On the other hand, C can be regular without having étale normal crossings singularities - for example if S is a trait and C has multiple non-smooth points. Finally, note that the universal stable curve $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n+1} \to \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}$ has étale normal crossings singularities.

Lemma 8.4. *Let R be a ring, and $x_1, \cdots, x_d \in R$ a collection of elements such that for all $J \subseteq \{1, \cdots, d\}$, the quotient*

$$R/(x_j : j \in J)$$

is regular. Let $m_1, \cdots, m_d$ be non-negative integers with $\gcd(m_1, \cdots, m_d) = 1$, and let $n_1, \cdots, n_d$ be integers such that $\sum_{i=1}^d m_i n_i = 1$. Define

$$R' = \frac{R[a, u_1^{\pm 1}, \cdots, u_d^{\pm 1}]}{(x_i - a^{m_i} u_i : (1 \leq i \leq d), 1 - \prod_i u_i^{n_i})}.$$

Then R' and R'/a are regular.

Proof. It is easy to check that R'/a is regular; it is even smooth over $R/(x_i : m_i > 0)$, which is regular by assumption. We need to show R' itself is regular; this will take more care, since it is not in general smooth over its image - it resembles an affine patch of a blowup.

Let $p \in \operatorname{Spec} R'$ be any point, and write q for the image of p in $\operatorname{Spec} R$. Localising R at q, we may assume that R is local, with closed point q. Re-ordering the x_i, we may assume that $x_1, \cdots, x_e \in q$ and $x_{e+1}, \cdots, x_d \notin q$ for some $0 \leq e \leq d$. Writing $D = \dim R$, our normal crossing assumptions imply that there exist $g_1, \cdots, g_{D-e} \in R$ such that

$$q = (x_1, \cdots, x_e, g_1, \cdots, g_{D-e}).$$

Now if $e = 0$ then the result is clear since R' is smooth over R. Hence we may assume $e \geq 1$. It then follows that $m_i = 0$ for every $e < i \leq d$, otherwise R'/qR' is empty, contradicting the existence of p. Again reordering, we may assume that $1 \leq m_1 \leq m_2 \leq \cdots \leq m_e$. We find that

$$R'/qR' = \frac{R/q[a, u_1^{\pm 1}, \cdots, u_d^{\pm 1}]}{(a^{m_1}, x_{e+1} - u_{e+1}, \cdots, x_d - u_d, 1 - \prod_{1 \leq i \leq d} u_i^{n_i})}.$$

We then see that

$$\frac{R'}{((a) + q)R'} = \frac{R/q[a, u_1^{\pm 1}, \cdots, u_d^{\pm 1}]}{(a, x_{e+1} - u_{e+1}, \cdots, x_d - u_d, 1 - \prod_{1 \leq i \leq d} u_i^{n_i})}$$

is regular and of dimension $e - 1$. From this we deduce that there exist elements $f_1, \cdots, f_{e-1} \in R'$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} p &= (a, f_1, \cdots, f_{e-1}, x_1, \cdots, x_e, g_1, \cdots, g_{D-e}) \\ &= (a, f_1, \cdots, f_{e-1}, g_1, \cdots, g_{D-e}), \end{aligned}$$

so p can be generated by D elements. Now it is clear that every irreducible component of R' has dimension at least D (count generators and relations), and hence it follows that R' is regular at p and has pure dimension D. □

Lemma 8.5. *In the notation of lemma 8.4, assume also that R is local, with maximal ideal $\mathfrak{m}$. Assume that at least one of the x_i lies in $\mathfrak{m}$. The following are equivalent:*

1. *$R'/\mathfrak{m}$ is connected;*
2. *$R'/\mathfrak{m}$ is non-zero;*
3. *for all $1 \leq i \leq d$, we have that $(x_i \in R^\times \implies m_i = 0)$.*

Proof. The implication $1 \implies 2$ is from the definition of a connected ring. Suppose 3 fails, so (say) $x_1 \in R^\times$ and $m_1 \neq 0$, so a becomes a unit in $R'/\mathfrak{m}$. We also know some $x_i \notin R^\times$, say $x_d \notin R^\times$. Then in $R'/\mathfrak{m}$ we find that $0 = x_d = a^{m_d} u_d$ is a unit, so $R'/\mathfrak{m} = 0$. This shows $2 \implies 3$.

For $3 \implies 1$ we must work a little harder. A little more notation: let $k = R/\mathfrak{m}$, and assume that $x_1, \cdots, x_e \notin R^\times$ and that $x_{e+1}, \cdots, x_d \in R^\times$ for some $e > 0$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} R'/\mathfrak{m} &= \frac{k[a, u_1^{\pm 1}, \cdots, u_d^{\pm 1}]}{\left(u_1 a^{m_1}, \cdots, u_e a^{m_e}, x_{e+1} - u_{e+1}, \cdots, x_d - u_d, 1 - \prod_{i=1}^d u_i^{n_i}\right)} \\ &= \frac{k[a, u_1^{\pm 1}, \cdots, u_e^{\pm 1}]}{\left(a^{m_1}, \cdots, a^{m_e}, 1 - \prod_{i=1}^e u_i^{n_i} \prod_{i=e+1}^d x_i^{n_i}\right)}. \end{aligned}$$

Quotienting by (a) will not affect whether the ring is connected, so it is enough to show that

$$\frac{k[u_1^{\pm 1}, \cdots, u_e^{\pm 1}]}{\left(1 - \prod_{i=1}^{e} u_i^{n_i} \prod_{i=e+1}^{d} x_i^{n_i}\right)}$$

is connected. We will in fact show that this ring is a domain. Perhaps extending the field k, we can absorb the x_i. Moreover since $\sum_{i=1}^{d} m_i n_i = \sum_{i=1}^{e} m_i n_i = 1$ we know that n_i have no common factor. Perhaps swapping u_i and u_i^{-1} for some i, we may assume all $n_i \geq 0$. Moreover, the localisation of a domain is a domain. So it is enough to show the ring

$$\frac{k[u_1, \cdots, u_e]}{(1 - \prod_{i=1}^{e} u_i^{n_i})}$$

is a domain, i.e. we must show $P := 1 - \prod_{i=1}^{e} u_i^{n_i}$ is irreducible.

Without loss of generality assume $n_1 \neq 0$. Think of P as a polynomial in x_1, and for any $i \neq 1$ write $\mathrm{NP}_{x_i}(P)$ for the Newton polygon of P with respect to the valuation coming from x_i. The single edge of the Newton polygon $\mathrm{NP}_{x_i}(P)$ has slope n_i/n_1, so we see that if h is a factor of P then $\deg_{x_1}(h)\frac{n_i}{n_1} \in \mathbb{Z}$, i.e.

$$\frac{n_1}{\gcd(n_1, n_i)} \mid \deg_{x_1}(h).$$

Since the n_i have no common factor, this implies that $\deg_{x_1}(P) = n_1 \mid \deg_{x_1}(h)$, and we are done. □

Lemma 8.6. *In the notation of lemma 8.4. Let $U \subseteq \operatorname{Spec} R$ be dense open such that every x_i is a unit on U. Define $\varphi\colon U \to \operatorname{Spec} R'$ by*

$$\begin{aligned} R' &\to \mathcal{O}_U(U) \\ a &\mapsto \prod_i x_i^{n_i} \\ u_i &\mapsto x_i a^{-m_i}. \end{aligned}$$

Then the image of φ is dense in $\operatorname{Spec} R'$.

Proof. Without loss of generality, we may assume U is given by $U = \operatorname{Spec} R_0$ where $R_0 = R[1/x_i : 1 \leq i \leq d]$. Set $S = \operatorname{Spec} R$, and $S' = \operatorname{Spec} R'$. First, we want to show that the natural map $U \to S' \times_S U$ is an isomorphism, in other words that $R' \otimes_R R_0 \to R_0$ is an isomorphism. Since not all $m_i = 0$ we find that a becomes a unit in $R' \otimes_R R_0$, and the result then follows by elementary manipulations.

Now let $p \in S' \setminus U$ be a point, with image $q \in S$. So $q \notin U$, so some $x_i \in q$. Localising R at q, the hypotheses are preserved, but now we also have that R is local and R'/q is non-zero (since p exists). By lemma 8.5 this implies that the

closed fibre S'_q is connected. Write $\overline{\varphi(U)}$ for the closure of the image of U in S'. I claim that the fibre $\overline{\varphi(U)}_q$ is non-empty. Suppose for now that the claim is true. Then p lies in the same connected component of S' as $\varphi(U)$. But S' is regular by lemma 8.4, so every connected component of S' is irreducible, so $p \in \overline{\varphi(U)}$ and the lemma is proven.

It remains to verify the claim that $\overline{\varphi(U)}_q \neq \emptyset$. For this, let $f\colon T \to S$ be a map from the spectrum of a discrete valuation ring to S sending the closed point to q and such that for all $1 \leq i \leq d$ we have

$$\operatorname{ord}_T f^* x_i = m_i.$$

This is possible because the non-unit x_i form a regular sequence in R, and because

$$x_i \in R^\times \implies m_i = 0,$$

otherwise the point p could not exist (by lemma 8.5).

We then find that $\prod_{i=1}^d (f^* x_i)^{n_i}$ is a uniformiser on T, and that for all i the element $f^* x_i / a^{m_i}$ is a unit on T. We can therefore lift the map f to a map $f'\colon T \to S'$ by

$$\begin{aligned} R' &\to \mathcal{O}_T(T) \\ a &\mapsto \prod_i (f^* x_i)^{n_i} \\ u_i &\mapsto f^* x_i a^{-m_i}. \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

The image of the closed point of T under f' lies over q. The image of T under f' is integral, and the image of the generic point lies over U, so the closure of $\varphi(U)$ has non-empty fibre over q as required. □

Lemma 8.7. *Notation as in Setup 7.7, and assume C/S has normal crossings singularities. For each $\mathbb{E} \in \operatorname{Part}(\Gamma_M)$, let $a_{\mathbb{E}}$ denote the image of the aligning element of $R'_{\mathbb{E}}$ in R'_M. Then*

1. *The sequence $(a_{\mathbb{E}} : \mathbb{E} \in \operatorname{Part}(\Gamma_M))$ form a normal-crossings divisor in R'_M (in particular they are distinct);*
2. *We have $R_M = R'_M$.*

Proof. Choose an ordering on $\operatorname{Part}(\Gamma_M)$, say $\operatorname{Part}(\Gamma_M) = \{\mathbb{E}_1, \cdots, \mathbb{E}_r\}$. Set $R_0 = R$, and $R_i = \bigotimes_{j=1}^i R'_{\mathbb{E}_j}$. We will prove the claim by induction on i. The case $i = 0$ is exactly the assumption that C/S has normal crossings singularities (in particular U is dense in R). Suppose we know the result for some R_i, then claim 1 (respectively claim 2) for R_{i+1} is exactly what we get by applying lemma 8.4 (respectively lemma 8.6) to the ring R_i and the sequence $a_{\mathbb{E}_1}, \cdots, a_{\mathbb{E}_i}$, with suitably chosen $m_\star$ and $n_\star$. □

Theorem 8.8. *Notation as in Setup 7.7, and assume C/S has normal crossings singularities. Let $\beta\colon \tilde{S} \to S$ be the universal aligning morphism. Then the set $\{\beta^* \operatorname{label}(e) : e \in \operatorname{edges}(\Gamma_{\mathfrak{s}})\}$ has normal crossings in $\tilde{S}$, i.e. for every $J \subseteq \operatorname{edges}(\Gamma_{\mathfrak{s}})$, the subscheme*

$$V(\beta^* \operatorname{label}(e) : e \in J) \subseteq \tilde{S} \tag{2}$$

is regular. In particular, $\tilde{S}$ is regular.

Proof. The claim is local on $\tilde{S}$, so we can fix a thickness function M and check the claim on S_M. The result is then immediate from lemma 8.7. □

9 Resolving singularities over the universal aligning scheme

Definition 9.1. Let S be a scheme, $U \subseteq S$ a dense open, and C/U a smooth proper curve. A *model* for C/U is a proper flat morphism $\bar{C} \to S$ together with an isomorphism $\bar{C} \times_S U \xrightarrow{\sim} C$. This isomorphism will often be suppressed in the notation.

Lemma 9.2. *Notation as in Setup 7.7, and assume C/S has normal crossings singularities. Then the pull-back of C_U to $S_M = \operatorname{Spec} R_M$ has a nodal **regular** aligned model.*

Note that C is regular since C/S has normal crossings singularities.

Proof. Let $f\colon S_M \to S$ be the structure map. Note that S_M is regular by theorem 8.8. Write $C_0 = C \times_S S_M$, this is an aligned nodal curve. We will resolve the singularities of C_0 by blowing up, taking care to preserve alignment as we do so.

For $i = 0, 1, \cdots$, we define $Z_i \subseteq C_i$ to be the reduced closed subscheme where C_i is not regular, and then define C_{i+1} to be the blowup of C_i at Z_i. It is enough to show:

1.1 some C_N is regular;

1.2 each C_i is aligned.

Write P for the (finite) set of generic points of the union of the $V(f^*x)$ as x runs labels of $\Gamma_{\mathfrak{s}}$. Note that each $\mathfrak{p} \in P$ is a codimension 1 point on the regular scheme S_M, and moreover (again by theorem 8.8) that the quotient $R/\mathfrak{p}$ is also

regular. Write $\mathrm{ord}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ for the corresponding $(\mathbb{Z} \cup \{\infty\})$-valued discrete valuation on the local ring at $\mathfrak{p}$. Given $i \geq 0$, define a non-negative integer

$$\delta_i = \sum_{\mathfrak{p} \in P} \sum_{\substack{q \in C_i \\ \text{lying over } \mathfrak{p}}} (\mathrm{ord}_{\mathfrak{p}}\, \ell(q) - 1);$$

here $\ell(q)$ denotes the label of the edge of the dual graph $\Gamma_{\mathfrak{p}}$ corresponding to q; it lives in the étale local ring at $\mathfrak{p}$. It is enough to show:

2.1 if $\delta_i > 0$ then $\delta_i > \delta_{i+1}$;

2.2 if $\delta_i = 0$ then C_i is regular;

2.3 each C_i is aligned.

We first show item 2.1. Let i be such that $\delta_i > 0$. Let $\mathfrak{p} \in P$, and let $q \in C_i$ be a non-smooth point lying over $\mathfrak{p}$, with $\mathrm{ord}_{\mathfrak{p}}\, \ell(q) = t$. Let p be a generator for $\mathfrak{p}$. The completed local ring on C_i at q is given by

$$\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{C_i,q} \cong \frac{\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{S_M,\mathfrak{p}}[[u,v]]}{(uv - p^t)}.$$

Assume $t \geq 2$ (this holds for some $\mathfrak{p}$ and q, otherwise $\delta_i = 0$). The blowup of $\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{C_i,q}$ at $q = (u, v, p)$ has three affine patches:

2.1.1 '$u_i = 1$', given by:

$$\frac{\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{S_M,\mathfrak{p}}[[u,v]][v_1,p_1]}{(v_1 - u^{t-2}p_1^t, v - uv_1, p - up_1)}$$

which is regular (a calculation, or cf. [dJ96, §3]);

2.1.2 '$v_1 = 1$', which is also regular by symmetry;

2.1.3 '$p_1 = 1$', given by

$$\frac{\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{S_M,\mathfrak{p}}[[u,v]][u_1,v_1]}{(u_1v_1 - p^{t-2}, u - pu_1, v - pv_1)}.$$

This patch is empty and hence regular if $t = 2$. If $t > 2$ then the patch is regular except at $q' := (u_1, v_1, p)$. In the latter case we see that $\mathrm{ord}_{\mathfrak{p}}\, q' = t-2$; it has dropped by 2.

As such, we see that at most one non-regular point q' of C_{i+1} maps to q, and if q' exists we have $\mathrm{ord}_{\mathfrak{p}}\, q' = \mathrm{ord}_{\mathfrak{p}}\, q - 2$. This shows that $\delta_{i+1} < \delta_i$.

Next we show item 2.2. Let i be such that $\delta_i = 0$. Let $c \in C_i$ lie over $s \in S_M$. We will show C_i is regular at c. If $C_i \to S_M$ is smooth at c we are done, so assume this is not the case. Then the completed local ring of C_i at c is given by

$$\frac{\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{S_M,s}[[u,v]]}{(uv - \ell_c)}$$

where $\ell_c \in \mathcal{O}_{S,s}$ is an element which (by definition) generates the label of the graph Γ_s at the edge e_c corresponding to the point c.

Let $\mathfrak{p} \in P$ be such that $\ell_c \in \mathfrak{p}$ (such $\mathfrak{p}$ exists by construction). The specialisation map

$$\Gamma_s \to \Gamma_{\mathfrak{p}}$$

does not contract e_c; rather it sends it to an edge labelled by the ideal generated by $\mathrm{sp}(\ell_c)$, where

$$\mathrm{sp}\colon \mathcal{O}_{S_M,s} \to \mathcal{O}_{S_M,\mathfrak{p}}$$

is the specialisation map. By our assumption that $\delta_i = 0$, it follows that $(\mathrm{sp}\,\ell_c) = \mathfrak{p}$, so the closed subscheme $V(\ell_c) \subseteq \mathrm{Spec}\,\mathcal{O}_{S_M,s}$ is regular.

Write $\dim \mathcal{O}_{S_M,s} = d$. By the above regularity statement, we can find elements $g_1, \cdots, g_{d-1}$ such that

$$\mathfrak{m}_s = (\ell_c, g_1, \cdots, g_{d-1}).$$

Hence the ideal corresponding to c can be generated by

$$(u, v, \ell_c, g_1, \cdots, g_{d-1}) = (u, v, g_1, \cdots, g_{d-1}),$$

in other words it can be generated by $d+1$ elements. Since $\dim_c C_M = d+1$, this proves that C_M is regular at c.

Finally, we show item 2.3. We proceed by induction on i. For $i = 0$ the result is clear. Let $i \geq 1$, and assume the result for $i-1$. Let $s \in S_M$ be any point, and for each i let Γ_s^i be the graph of C_i over s. Then the labelled graph Γ_s^i can be constructed from the labelled graph Γ_s^{i-1} by the following recipe:

1. for each edge e such that $\mathrm{label}(e) = a^2$ for some irreducible element $a \in \mathcal{O}^{\mathrm{et}}_{S_M,s}$, replace e by two edges both with label a;
2. for each edge e such that $\mathrm{label}(e) = a^n$ for some irreducible element $a \in \mathcal{O}^{\mathrm{et}}_{S_M,s}$ and integer $n > 2$, replace e by three edges with labels a, a^{n-2} and a in that order;
3. delete any edge labelled by a unit.

In pictures:



It is clear that applying this procedure to an aligned graph will yield an aligned graph. □

10 Existence of Néron models over universal aligning schemes

Definition 10.1. Let C/S be a nodal curve over an algebraic stack, smooth over a dense open substack $U \subseteq S$. Write J for the jacobian of C_U/U; this is an abelian scheme over U. A *Néron-model-admitting morphism for* C/S is a morphism $f\colon T \to S$ of algebraic stacks such that:

10.1.1 T is regular;

10.1.2 $U \times_S T$ is dense in T;

10.1.3 f^*J admits a Néron model over T.

We define the category of Néron-model-admitting morphisms as the full sub-2-category of the 2-category of stacks over S whose objects are Néron-model-admitting morphisms.

A *universal Néron-model-admitting morphism for* C/S is a terminal object in the 2-category[5] of Néron-model-admitting morphisms; it is unique up to isomorphism unique up to unique 2-isomorphism if it exists. We write $\beta\colon \tilde{S} \to S$ for a universal Néron-model-admitting morphism, and $\tilde{N}/\tilde{S}$ for the Néron model of J.

Theorem 10.2. *Let S be an algebraic stack locally of finite type over an excellent scheme. Let C/S be a nodal curve such that C/S has étale normal crossing singularities (in particular, C is smooth over some dense open substack $U \subseteq S$). Let $\beta\colon \tilde{S} \to S$ denote the universal regular aligning morphism (note β is an isomorphism over U). Then $\tilde{S}$ is a universal Néron-model-admitting morphism for C/S, and moreover the Néron model $\tilde{N}$ is of finite type over $\tilde{S}$, and its fibrewise-connected-component-of-identity is semi-abelian.*

[5]Since this is a 2-category, care must be taken with terminal objects. However, since our categories are fibred in groupoids there is no ambiguity in the definition of terminal object. All our proofs will be by descent from the case of schemes, so this issue will also not arise in the proofs.

Note that the universal aligning morphism exists as an algebraic space over S by theorem 7.19.

Proof. Let $f\colon S' \to S$ be a smooth cover by a scheme, then f^*C/S' has étale normal crossings singularities, and S' is excellent because it is locally of finite type over an excellent scheme. So by lemma 8.3 we find that S has a smooth cover by schemes $S_i : i \in I$ such that each $C_{S_i} \to S_i$ has a controlling point $\mathfrak{s}_i$ and normal crossings singularities. Formation of the universal aligning morphism $\tilde{S} \to S$ commutes with smooth base-change, so by theorem 8.8 we find that $\tilde{S}$ is regular, and by lemma 9.2 we find that $\tilde{S}$ has a smooth cover by schemes $S_{i,M}$ such that on each $S_{i,M}$ the curve $C_{S_{i,M}}$ has a nodal regular aligned model.

By [Hol14, theorem 1.2] we then know that the jacobian of C_U/U has a finite type Néron model over each $S_{i,M}$ with semi-abelian fibrewise-connected-component-of-identity. Néron models descend along smooth covers by [Hol14, lemma 6.1], so a Néron model exists over $\tilde{S}$ (and the properties mentioned also descend). This shows that $\beta\colon \tilde{S} \to S$ is a Néron model admitting morphism.

Finally, we need to show that any other Néron-model-admitting morphism factors via $\tilde{S}$. Let $f\colon T \to S$ be a Néron-model-admitting morphism. Since T is reduced and β is separated, a factorisation of f via β will descend along an fppf-cover of T; as such, (and using that a scheme smooth over a regular base is regular) we may assume T is a scheme. Then by [Hol14] and the fact that f^*C_U has a Néron model over T, we know that f^*C/T is aligned (cf. remark 2.4). Since T is regular, this implies that f^*C/T is aligned, and hence f factors uniquely via the universal aligning morphism as required. □

From now on, we work relative to a fixed base scheme Λ which we assume to be regular and excellent - the basic examples to keep in mind are $\operatorname{Spec}\mathbb{Z}$ and the spectrum of a field. Let g, n be non-negative integers such that $2g - 2 + n > 0$. We write $\mathcal{M}_{g,n}$ for the modui stack of smooth proper connected n-pointed curves of genus g over Λ, and $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}$ for its Deligne-Mumford-Knudsen compactification. By [Knu83, theorem 2.7] we know that $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}$ is a smooth proper Deligne-Mumford stack over Λ, and the boundary $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n} \setminus \mathcal{M}_{g,n}$ is a divisor with normal crossings relative to Λ in the sense of [DM69, definition 1.8]. We write $J_{g,n}$ for the jacobian of the universal curve $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n+1} \times_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}} \mathcal{M}_{g,n}$ over $\mathcal{M}_{g,n}$; this is an abelian scheme over $\mathcal{M}_{g,n}$.

Corollary 10.3. *A universal Néron-model-admitting morphism for $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}$ exists and is an algebraic space over $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}$. The Néron model over it is of finite type, and its fibrewise-connected-component-of-identity is semi-abelian.*

Proof. Since $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}$ and $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n+1}$ are smooth over Λ, they are in particular regular. By theorem 10.2, it is enough to show that $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n+1}/\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}$ has étale normal crossing

singularities. Let s be a geometric point of $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}$, and let S be an étale scheme-neighbourhood of s, and write Γ_s for the labelled dual graph of the fibre over s of the nodal curve $C := \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n+1} \times_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}} S$. By the discussion after the proof of [DM69, proposition 1.5], all the labels of Γ_s are distinct, and they form a relative normal crossing divisor over Λ. Since Λ is regular, these two properties together imply that C/S has étale normal crossing singularities at s. □

Proposition 10.4. *These objects also satisfy the following properties:*

1. *the morphism $\beta\colon \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n} \to \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}$ is separated and locally of finite presentation;*
2. *the morphism $\beta\colon \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n} \to \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}$ satisfies the valuative criterion for properness for morphisms from traits to $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,h}$ which map the generic point of the trait to $\mathcal{M}_{g,n}$.*

Proof.

1. Follows from the same properties for the universal aligning scheme (theorem 7.19) and the fact that these properties descend along fppf morphisms.
2. Immediate from the definition of the universal Néron-model-admitting scheme because Néron models always exists over traits (alternatively, because nodal curves over traits are always aligned).

□

10.1 Base-change and smoothness

If Λ is a perfect field then $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}$ is automatically smooth over Λ since it is regular. The purpose of this section is to show that the same holds when Λ is not assumed to be a field.

Because we want to talk about how $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}$ changes as we change the base ring Λ, we will in this section write $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}^{\Lambda}_{g,n} \to \overline{\mathcal{M}}^{\Lambda}_{g,n}$ for the universal Néron model admitting morphism over Λ.

Theorem 10.5. *Let Λ be a regular scheme. Then the structure morphism $\pi\colon \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}^{\Lambda}_{g,n} \to \Lambda$ is smooth.*

Proof. Clearly π is locally of finite presentation. We will show that π is flat and has regular geometric fibres. The regularity of the fibre over a geometric point $\operatorname{Spec} k \to \Lambda$ is immediate from lemma 3.3 and the regularity of $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}^{k}_{g,n}$. For flatness we will apply [Gro65, 6.1.5]. To ease notation, write $X = \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}^{\Lambda}_{g,n}$. We may replace $\overline{\mathcal{M}}^{\Lambda}_{g,n}$ and $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}^{\Lambda}_{g,n}$ by compatible étale covers by schemes, and so we will for the

remainder of the proof abuse notation by treating them as schemes. Let x be a point of $X = \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}^{\Lambda}_{g,n}$ lying over a point y of Λ. Because $X = \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}^{\Lambda}_{g,n}$ and Λ are both regular, it is enough by [Gro65, 6.1.5] to show that

$$\dim \mathcal{O}_{X,x} = \dim \mathcal{O}_{\Lambda,y} + \dim \mathcal{O}_{X_y,x}.$$

Now $\mathcal{M}^{\Lambda}_{g,n}$ is by construction dense in the regular scheme $X = \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}^{\Lambda}_{g,n}$, so $\dim \mathcal{O}_{X,x} = \dim \mathcal{O}_{\Lambda,y} + 3g - 3 + n$. On the other hand, $\mathcal{M}^{y}_{g,n}$ is dense in the regular scheme $X_y = \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}^{y}_{g,n}$ (lemma 3.3 again), so $\dim \mathcal{O}_{X_y,x} = 3g - 3 + n$, and we are done. □

11 A worked example

In this section we give an example of a non-aligned nodal curve. We compute its universal aligning scheme, and describe the Néron model of its jacobian over the universal aligning scheme.

Construct a stable 2-pointed curve over $\mathbb{C}$ by glueing two copies of $\mathbb{P}^1_{\mathbb{C}}$ at $(0:1)$ and $(1:0)$, and marking the point $(1:1)$ on each copy. Then define C/S to be the universal deformation as a 2-pointed stable curve. Choose coordinates such that $S = \operatorname{Spec} \mathbb{C}[[x,y]]$, and C is smooth over the open subset $U = D(xy)$. Call the sections p and q.

Now the graph over the closed point of S is a 2-gon, with one edge labelled by (x) and the other by (y). The graph over the generic point of $(x = 0)$ is a 1-gon with edge labelled by (y), and similarly the graph over the generic point of $(y = 0)$ is a 1-gon with edge labelled by (x). All other fibres are smooth. In particular, C/S is aligned except at the closed point, which is a controlling point.

11.1 The universal aligning morphism

We now describe the universal aligning morphism. We will not follow through the construction given in section 7, but will instead give a more geometric picture via a sequence of blowups of S.

Set $S_0 = S$, and let D_0 and E_0 be the divisors given by $x = 0$ and $y = 0$ respectively. Let Z_0 denote the locus where $D_0 \cup E_0$ is singular (i.e. the closed point of S). Let $U_0 = S_0 \setminus Z_0$.

Now set S_1 to be the blowup of S_0 at Z_0, and let D_1 and E_1 be the pullbacks of D_0 and E_0 to S_1. Let Z_1 be the locus where $D_1 \cup E_1$ is singular, and let $U_1 = S_1 \setminus Z_1$. Let $\varphi_1 \colon U_0 \to U_1$ be the unique S-morphism (an open immersion). We proceed like this, inductively defining an infinite chain of blowups $S_{i+1} \to S_i$ and open immersions $\varphi_i \colon U_i \to U_{i+1}$. Then the universal aligning morphism $\tilde{S} \to S$ is the colimit of the open immersions φ_i. The morphism $\tilde{S} \to S$ is separated, locally

of finite type, is an isomorphism outside the closed point of S, but is not quasi-compact (the closed fibre is an infinite union of copies of $\mathbb{G}_m$). Note that $\tilde{S}$ is integral and is smooth over $\mathbb{C}$.

12 Bounded-thickness substacks of $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}$

In some applications it can be useful to consider only a part of the stack $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}$ - in particular, in applications where quasi-compactness is important. In this section we define certain open substacks of $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}$ with good universal properties.

We write Γ' for the graph obtained from Γ by deleting all loops.

Definition 12.1. Let S be a scheme, C/S a nodal curve, and $\bar{s} \in S$ a geometric point. Write $G_1, \cdots, G_n$ for the maximal 2-vertex-connected subgraphs of the graph Γ'_s. Let $e \geq 0$ be an integer. We say C/S is *e-strongly aligned* at s if there exists a sequence $a_1, \cdots, a_n$ of non-zero elements of $\mathcal{O}^{et}_{S,s}$ such that

1. the a_i form a 'weak normal-crossings-divisor' (i.e. for every subset $J \subseteq \{1, \cdots, n\}$, the subscheme
$$V(a_j : j \in J) \subseteq \operatorname{Spec} \mathcal{O}_{S,s}$$
is regular - we impose no condition on the codimension);
2. for each i and each edge e of G_i, there exists $0 \leq r \leq e$ such that
$$\operatorname{label}(e) = (a_i)^r.$$

We say C/S is *e-strongly aligned* if it is e-strongly aligned at s for all geometric points s of S.

Definition 12.2. Let g, n be non-negative integers such that $2g-2+n > 0$. Let $e \geq 0$ be an integer. Choose an étale cover $\bigsqcup_{i \in I} S_i \to \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}$ by a scheme such that on each S_i the curve has a controlling point $\mathfrak{s}_i$. For each $i \in I$, write $\mathbb{M}_i^{\leq e}$ for the set of thickness functions on $C_{\mathfrak{s}_i}$ which take values in $\{0, \cdots, e-1, e\} \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$. Now define $\tilde{S}_i^{\leq e}$ to be the open subscheme of $\tilde{S}_i$ covered by the S_M as M runs over $\mathbb{M}_i^{\leq e}$. Define $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}^{\leq e}$ to be the image of $\bigsqcup_{i \in I} \tilde{S}_i^{\leq e}$ under the natural étale map $\bigsqcup_{i \in I} \tilde{S}_i \to \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}$.

Remark 12.3. 1. A-priori the definition of $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}^{\leq e}$ depends on the choice of cover $\bigsqcup_{i \in I} \tilde{S}_i \to \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}$, but we will see in lemma 12.4 that this is not the case;

2. Note that each $\tilde{S}_i^{\leq e} \to S_i$ is quasi-compact, since $\mathbb{M}_i^{\leq e}$ is finite. By étale descent of quasi-compactness, the same holds for $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}^{\leq e} \to \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}$.

Lemma 12.4. *Fix integers g, n, e as above. Then the category of e-strongly aligned stable n-pointed curves of genus g has a terminal object. This terminal object had a natural map to $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}$ (since e-strongly aligned implies aligned), and this map is an open immersion, whose image is exactly $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}^{\leq e}$.*

Proof. In this proof we retain the notation from definition 12.2 (in particular the cover $\bigsqcup_{i\in I} \tilde{S}_i \to \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}$).

The property of being e-strongly aligned is local in the étale topology. Observe that the pullback of the canonical stable curve over S_i to $\tilde{S}_i^{\leq e}$ is e-strongly aligned, by definition of $\tilde{S}_i^{\leq e}$ and the fact that the universal curve over $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}$ (and hence over S_i) has étale normal-crossings singularities. Combining these observations, we see that the pullback of the universal stable curve over $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}$ to $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}^{\leq e}$ is itself e-strongly aligned.

Let $f\colon T \to \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}$ be a non-degenerate morphism, with resulting stable curve C/T. Suppose that C is e-strongly aligned. In particular, C/T is aligned, and so f factors (uniquely) via $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}$. If we can show that f in fact factors via $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}^{\leq e}$, then we are done.

Since being e-strongly-aligned is étale local, we may assume that f factors via the étale cover $\bigsqcup_{i\in I} \tilde{S}_i \to \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}$. Then it is clear from the definitions that f factors via $\bigsqcup_{i\in I} \tilde{S}_i^{\leq e}$ and hence via $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}^{\leq e}$, as required. □

Finally, we observe that the universal Néron model has a particularly nice universal property for 1-strongly-aligned curves:

Lemma 12.5. *Let C/S be stable, 1-strongly aligned and smooth over a dense open. Let $f\colon S \to \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}$ be the tautological map. Write $N_{g,n}$ for the Néron model over $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}$. Then $f^*N_{g,n}$ is the Néron model of the jacobian of C.*

Proof. A stable curve which is 1-strongly-aligned is necessarily regular. The Picard space of the universal curve pulls back along f to the Picard space of C/S, and the same holds for the closure of unit section (since the latter is flat). Hence the pullback $f^*N_{g,n}$ is the quotient of the Picard space of a regular curve by the closure of the unit section, and is hence the Néron model. □

13 Relation to the stack $\mathcal{P}_{d,g}$ of Caporaso

Let $g \geq 3$, and let d be an integer such that $\gcd(d-g+1, 2g-2) = 1$. In [Cap08], Caporaso constructs a smooth morphism of stacks $p\colon \mathcal{P}_{d,g} \to \overline{\mathcal{M}}_g$ and an

isomorphism from $\mathcal{P}_{d,g} \times_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}_g} \mathcal{M}_g$ to the degree-d Picard scheme of the universal curve over $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_g$. This morphism p is relatively representable by schemes, and satisfies the following property:

given a trait B with generic point η and a regular stable curve $X \to B$, write $f\colon B \to \overline{\mathcal{M}}_g$ for the moduli map. Then $f^*\mathcal{P}_{d,g}$ is the Néron model of $\mathrm{Pic}^d_{X_\eta/\eta}$.

More concisely, we might say that $\mathcal{P}_{d,g}$ gives a partial compactification of the degree-d universal jacobian J_g which has a good universal property for test curves B in $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_g$ which meet the boundary with 'low multiplicity' (this is equivalent to the given stable curve X/B being regular). In contrast, in this paper we construct a partial compactification N_g of the universal jacobian J_g, with a natural group structure, and which has a good universal property for *all* test curves to (even aligned morphisms to) $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_g$, but at the price of 'blowing up the boundary' of $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_g$. In the remainder of this section, we will make the comparison more precise.

Note that the condition $\gcd(d-g+1, 2g-2) = 1$ precludes the possibility that $d = 0$ (unless $g = 2$), and so to compare Caporaso's construction to $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_g$ we must consider Néron models of degree-d parts of the jacobian for $d \neq 0$. These are not group schemes, but the Néron mapping property still makes sense. This presents no new difficulties:

Theorem 13.1. *Let S be a regular separated stack, and C/S an aligned nodal curve, smooth over a dense open $U \hookrightarrow S$. Assume that C is regular. Fix $d \in \mathbb{Z}$, and let J_d/U denote the degree-d jacobian of C over U. Then J_d admits a Néron model over S.*

Proof. The closure of the unit section in $\mathrm{Pic}_{C/S}$ coincides with the closure of the unit section in $\mathrm{Pic}^{[0]}_{C/S}$, since the latter is open and closed in $\mathrm{Pic}_{C/S}$. In particular, the closure of the unit section in $\mathrm{Pic}_{C/S}$ is flat over S, and so the quotient N of $\mathrm{Pic}_{C/S}$ by the closure of the unit section exists as an algebraic space over S. It is easily verified that N is the Néron model of $\mathrm{Pic}_{C_U/U}$ — the proof of the main theorem of [Hol14] carries over almost verbatim. Then the closure of J_d inside N is exactly the Néron model of J_d that we seek. □

The next proposition shows that the restriction of the Néron model of the universal jacobian to the open substack $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_g^{\leq 1}$ is given by the pullback of Caporaso's construction.

Proposition 13.2. *Let $g \geq 3$ and d be integers such that $\gcd(d-g+1, 2g-2) = 1$. Write $\tilde{\mathcal{P}}$ for the pullback of $\mathcal{P}_{d,g}$ to $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_g^{\leq 1}$, and write N_g for the Néron model of J_g over $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_g^{\leq 1}$. Then the canonical map $h\colon \tilde{\mathcal{P}} \to N_g$ given by the Néron mapping property is an isomorphism.*

Proof. It is enough to check the map h is an isomorphism on every geometric fibre over $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_g^{\leq 1}$. Let p be a geometric point of $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_g^{\leq 1}$. Then there exists a trait T with geometric closed point t, and a morphism $g\colon T \to \overline{\mathcal{M}}_g$ such that

1. the given stable curve $X \to T$ is regular;
2. the map g factors via a map $\tilde{g}\colon T \to \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_g^{\leq 1}$;
3. this factorisation $\tilde{g}$ maps t to p.

Now since X is regular, we find that $\tilde{g}^* N_g$ is the Néron model of the jacobian of the generic fibre of $X \to T$, and the same holds for $\tilde{g}^*\tilde{\mathcal{P}} = g^*\mathcal{P}$. In particular, this shows that the fibres of N_g and of $\tilde{\mathcal{P}}$ over p are isomorphic. Moreover, the given map between them is an isomorphism; this is true because it is so over the generic point of T (apply the uniqueness part to the Néron mapping property). □

In particular, this shows that, after pullback along the morphism $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_g^{\leq 1} \to \overline{\mathcal{M}}_g$, the stack $\mathcal{P}_{d,g}$ admits a natural torsor structure extending that over $\mathcal{M}_g$.

References

[Cap08] Lucia Caporaso. Néron models and compactified Picard schemes over the moduli stack of stable curves. *Amer. J. Math.*, 130(1):1–47, 2008.

[Chi15] Alessandro Chiodo. Néron models of Pic^0 from Pic^0. *In preparation, see* `http://strato.impa.br/videos/2015-moduli_space_enumerative_geometry/modu` 2015.

[dJ96] Aise Johan de Jong. Smoothness, semi-stability and alterations. *Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math.*, (83):51–93, 1996.

[DM69] Pierre Deligne and David Mumford. The irreducibility of the space of curves of given genus. *Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math.*, (36):75–109, 1969.

[Dud15] Bashar Dudin. Compactified universal jacobian and the double ramification cycle. `http://arxiv.org/abs/1505.02897`, 2015.

[Est01] Eduardo Esteves. Compactifying the relative Jacobian over families of reduced curves. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 353(8):3045–3095 (electronic), 2001.

[FTT09] Edward Frenkel, Constantin Teleman, and AJ Tolland. Gromov-witten gauge theory i. *arXiv preprint arXiv:0904.4834*, 2009.

[Gro65] Alexander Grothendieck. Éléments de géométrie algébrique. IV. Étude locale des schémas et des morphismes de schémas. II. *Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math.*, (24):231, 1965.

[GZ14] Samuel Grushevsky and Dmitry Zakharov. The zero section of the universal semiabelian variety, and the double ramification cycle. *Duke Math J.*, 163(5):889–1070, 2014.

[Hol14] David Holmes. Néron models of jacobians over base schemes of dimension greater than 1. *http://arxiv.org/abs/1402.0647*, 2014.

[Knu83] Finn F. Knudsen. The projectivity of the moduli space of stable curves. II. The stacks $M_{g,n}$. *Math. Scand.*, 52(2):161–199, 1983.

[Liu02] Qing Liu. *Algebraic geometry and arithmetic curves*, volume 6 of *Oxford Graduate Texts in Mathematics*. Oxford University Press, Oxford, 2002. Translated from the French by Reinie Erné, Oxford Science Publications.

[Mel09] Ana Margarida Mascarenhas Melo. *Compactified Picard stacks over the moduli space of curves with marked points*. PhD thesis, Roma Tre, 2009.

[Nér64] André Néron. Modèles minimaux des variétés abéliennes sur les corps locaux et globaux. *Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ.Math. No.*, 21:128, 1964.

[Sta13] The Stacks Project Authors. Stacks project. `http://stacks.math.columbia.edu`, 2013.